[Tetrahedron 67 \(2011\) 6340](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2011.06.004)-[6346](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2011.06.004)

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron

Direct asymmetric aldol reactions in brine with recyclable fluorous b-aminosulfonamide organocatalysts

Tsuyoshi Miura ^{a,}*, Kie Imai ^a, Hikaru Kasuga ^a, Mariko Ina ^a, Norihiro Tada ^a, Nobuyuki Imai ^b, Akichika Itoh ^a

^a Gifu Pharmaceutical University 1-25-4, Daigaku-nishi, Gifu 501-1196, Japan ^b Faculty of Pharmacy, Chiba Institute of Science, 15-8 Shiomi-cho, Choshi, Chiba 288-0025, Japan

article info

Article history: Received 28 April 2011 Received in revised form 2 June 2011 Accepted 3 June 2011 Available online 16 June 2011

Keywords: Fluorous Organocatalyst Aldol **Water** Recycle

ABSTRACT

Fluorous organocatalyst 3 promotes direct asymmetric aldol reactions of ketones with aldehydes in brine, leading to the synthesis of the corresponding anti-aldol products in high yields with up to 96% ee. Fluorous organocatalyst 3 is easily recovered by solid-phase extraction using fluorous silica gel and can be reused up to five times without purification.

2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The development of an organocatalytic enantioselective aldol reaction has become a major area of research during the past decade as a result of its ability to yield stereoselective carbon-carbon bonds, generating chiral β -hydroxycarbonyl compounds as syn-thetic building blocks.^{[1](#page-6-0)} In addition, the properties of organocatalysts, such as low toxicity, high stability, and convenient handling, make them appealing candidates for synthetic chemistry. Since List et al. reported the first direct asymmetric aldol reaction catalyzed by proline in $2000²$ $2000²$ many other aldol reactions using proline analogues as the main organocatalyst have been reported.^{[1](#page-6-0)} As an alternative approach to asymmetric aldol reactions, several groups have worked with primary amine catalysts, exchanging the cyclic secondary amine of proline derivatives.^{[3,4](#page-6-0)} Moreover, the use of water as a reaction medium that replaces organic solvents has attracted a great deal of attention because of its affordability, safety, and environmentally benign nature, all of which are important requirements of sustainable green chemistry. $4-6$ $4-6$ $4-6$ Because type I aldolases, which are natural enzymes, employ the primary amino group of a lysine residue to catalyze aldol reactions via the enamine intermediate under aqueous conditions, $⁷$ $⁷$ $⁷$ it was suggested that an</sup> organocatalyst with a primary amino group may be suitable for the direct asymmetric aldol reaction in water. Several excellent primary amine organocatalysts for the aldol reactions under aqueous con-ditions have been reported.^{[4](#page-6-0)} In this context, we have recently reported direct asymmetric aldol reactions in brine catalyzed by chiral β -aminosulfonamide 1 derived from L-phenylalanine (Scheme 1);^{[8](#page-6-0)} unfortunately the organocatalyst was discarded after the reaction because of the difficulty in recovering and reusing it. Ultimately, the ability to recover and recycle expensive organocatalysts from the reaction mixture after completion of the reaction is highly desirable. Fluorous chemistry can be used as a recycling method to overcome this problem.^{[9](#page-6-0)} Fluorous chemistry has been developed extensively since Horváth and Rábai first reported the concept of the fluorous biphasic system in 1994.¹⁰ Further, Curran et al. elaborated on its use as a recycling technique by the fluorous solid-phase extraction (FSPE) methodology using fluorous silica gel. $9,11$ Subsequently, fluorous recycling techniques have been ap-plied in organocatalytic chemistry.^{[12](#page-6-0)} Fluorous organocatalysts were applied to asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions, $\frac{13}{3}$ aldol reactions, $\frac{6n,14}{3}$ $\frac{6n,14}{3}$ $\frac{6n,14}{3}$ Michael reactions,^{[15](#page-6-0)} reductions,¹⁶ epoxidations,¹⁷ and α -chlorina-tions of aldehydes^{[18](#page-6-0)} and could be recovered and reused by their fluorous characteristics. To recover and reuse valuable organocatalyst 1 ,^{[8](#page-6-0)} we developed a recyclable organocatalyst 3 with a fluorous tag that promotes asymmetric direct aldol reactions in brine, as discussed in our preliminary communication.⁴ⁱ Herein, we describe in detail asymmetric direct aldol reactions in brine using

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: miura@gifu-pu.ac.jp (T. Miura). fluorous organocatalysts 3 ([Fig. 1](#page-1-0)).

h
\n
$$
H_2N
$$

\n H_2N
\n $1: R = CF_3$
\n $2: R = C_4F_9$
\n $3: R = C_8F_{17}$

 \overline{p}

Fig. 1. Structure of organocatalysts.

2. Results and discussion

To recover and reuse valuable organocatalyst 1, we initially attempted the preparation of fluorous organocatalyst 2, which attached a perfluorobutyl group as a fluorous tag to 1 instead of trifluoromethyl group (Scheme 2). Treatment of compound **6**,^{[19](#page-6-0)} which is an intermediate in the preparation of organocatalyst 1, with perfluorobutanesulfonyl fluoride in the presence of triethylamine in CH_2Cl_2 resulted in sulfonamide 7 with 89% yield. The Boc group of 7 was removed by treatment with HCl in ethyl acetate to afford the desired fluorous β -aminosulfonamide 2 with 92% yield.

Scheme 2. Preparation of fluorous organocatalyst 2.

The reaction conditions were optimized for enantioselective direct aldol reactions using fluorous organocatalyst 2, as shown in Table 1. Aldol reactions were carried out with p-nitrobenzaldehyde (4a) and cyclohexanone (10 equiv) as test reactants in the presence of a catalytic amount of 2 (0.1 equiv). Methanol, 2-propanol, and acetonitrile are poor solvents for aldol reactions and provided low enantioselectivities (entries $1-3$). Moderate enantioselectivities were observed when THF, 1,4-dioxane, DMF, DMSO, and NMP were used as the other polar solvents (entries $4-8$). The neat conditions without reaction solvent caused lowering of stereoselectivity to yield the anti-aldol product with 56% ee (entry 9). The aldol reaction in water, an environmentally benign solvent, enhances the enantioselectivity up to 85% ee. This demonstrated that water is a more suitable solvent for the aldol reactions than commonly used organic solvents (entry 10). Furthermore, although longer reaction times (120 h) were needed in water, performing the reaction at 0 \degree C enhanced enantioselectivity. Moreover, brine proved to be the best solvent for direct aldol reaction catalyzed by organocatalyst 2 (entry 12). Overall, organocatalyst 2 is an excellent catalyst and shows higher stereoselectivity at room temperature for shorter reaction time compared to the original organocatalyst 1 (entry 13). Unfortunately, despite our attempts to recover the catalyst by FSPE using fluorous silica gel, oraganocatalyst 2 could not be adsorbed by fluorous silica gel even at 40 wt % of fluorine content and was eluted with 70% methanol.

Table 1

Optimization of reaction solvents

 \overline{a} Isolated yield.

b Determined by ¹H NMR.

^c Determined by HPLC analysis using Chiralcel OD-H.

We next attempted to prepare fluorous organocatalyst 3 containing 51 wt % of fluorine, hoping to improve its recoverability with the FSPE technique (Scheme 3). Compound 6 reacts with perfluorooctanesulfonyl fluoride in the presence of triethylamine in $CH₂Cl₂$ to give fluorous compound 8 with 68% yield. Treatment of 8 with HCl in ethyl acetate results in the desired fluorous β -aminosulfonamide 3 with 87% yield.

Scheme 3. Preparation of fluorous organocatalyst 3.

Based on the optimal conditions for aldol reactions using organocatalyst 2, the reaction conditions were optimized for the enantioselective direct aldol reactions using fluorous organocatalyst 3, as shown in [Table 2.](#page-2-0) Aldol reactions were carried out with p-nitrobenzaldehyde $(4a)$ and cyclohexanone (10 equiv) as test reactants in the presence of a catalytic amount of 3 (0.1 equiv) in brine. A lowering of stereoselectivity was observed when the aldol reaction using organocatalyst 3 was performed under the optimal conditions with 2 [\(Table 2,](#page-2-0) entry 1 vs Table 1, entry 13). Although the reaction at 0° C enhanced stereoselectivity, a long reaction time (163 h) was necessary for completion of the reaction (entry 2). The addition of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 0.05 equiv) improved the enantioselectivity under both room temperature and $0 °C$ conditions (entries 3 and 4). The enantioselectivities reduced

Table 2

^a Isolated vield.

^b Determined by ¹H NMR.

^c Determined by HPLC analysis using Chiralcel OD-H.

^d Cyclohexanone (5 equiv) was used.

when the amount of cyclohexanone or TFA was decreased (entries 5 and 6). The reaction in the presence of 0.1 equiv amount of TFA results in a reduction of yield (entry 7). The lowering of the catalyst loading to 0.05 equiv also slightly decreased the enantioselectivity (entry 8). Ultimately, the most suitable conditions were found when the reaction was performed in the presence of TFA (0.05 equiv) and catalyst 3 (0.1 equiv) at room temperature.

To address the limitations of the reaction substrate and extend the scope of our investigation, we next examined the substitution effect of aromatic aldehydes on aldol reactions under the optimal conditions (Table 3). We selected nitro, trifluoromethyl, cyano, and halogen substituents as representative electron-withdrawing groups and methoxy substituent as an electron-donating group

Table 3

1

2

3

4

Asymmetric aldol reactions with fluorous organocatalyst 3

on the benzene ring. The reactions of cyclohexanone with aromatic aldehydes bearing electron-withdrawing groups at the para position $(4b-d)$ proceeded smoothly to afford the corresponding antialdol products $(5b-d)$ with excellent yields and high enantioselectivities (88–93% ee). p-Anisaldehyde ($4e$) was a poor substrate for the aldol reaction and provided a low chemical yield (34%) with poor stereoselectivity despite the longer reaction time (entry 4). Benzaldehyde (4f) was also less reactive, with poor efficiency, and low yield; ultimately the aldol product 5f was obtained in only 23% yield using organocatalyst $\boldsymbol{1}^{8}$ $\boldsymbol{1}^{8}$ $\boldsymbol{1}^{8}$ Alternatively, fluorous sulfonamide $\boldsymbol{3}$ effectively catalyzed the aldol reaction to afford 5f with 71% yield and 87% ee (entry 5). The aldehydes substituted with nitro groups at ortho and meta positions $(4g$ and $4h)$ were converted to the corresponding anti-aldol products (5g and 5h) in excellent yields with 91% and 93% ee, respectively (entries 6 and 7). The reaction of less reactive *m*-anisaldehyde (4i) with cyclohexanone also gave 5i in good yield with 75% ee (entry 8). The highest diastereoselectivity (>99:1) was observed in the reaction of 2,6-dichlorobenzaldehyde (4j) with cyclohexanone (entry 9). Reaction of the pentasubstituted aldehyde 4k was also carried out, affording the corresponding anti-aldol product 5k with excellent diastereoselectivity and 84% ee (entry 10). The pyridine ring containing aldehyde 4l was also converted to the corresponding anti-aldol product 51 in excellent yield with 85% ee (entry 11). We also examined the reactions between other types of ketones and p -nitrobenzaldehyde ($4a$). The aldol reaction of cyclopentanone with 4a resulted in the expected aldol product 5m in moderate yield with highest enantioselectivity (96% ee) (entry 12). Although the reaction of cycloheptanone with 4a gave excellent product yield, low stereoselectivity was observed (entry 13). 4-Oxotetrahydropyran reacted with 4a to afford the product 5o with 86% yield and 77% ee (entry 14). The reaction of acetone as an acyclic ketone with 4a afforded 5n in good yield with low enantioselectivities (entry 15). Then, the reaction of dihydroxyacetone with 4a did not give the corresponding aldol product 5q under the similar reaction conditions (entry 16).

TFA $(0.05 \text{ cm}^2)(1)$

 $Ph -$

Table 3 (continued)

Entry	$\bf Product$	Time (h)	Yield ^a (%)	$\overline{anti/syn^b}$	ee $^{\rm c}$ (%)
$\mathbf 5$	QН O 5f	121	$71\,$	78:22	$87\,$
$\,6\,$	QН NO ₂ O $5\mathsf{g}$	${\bf 24}$	94	87:13	$\mathbf{91}$
$\sqrt{7}$	QH O NO ₂ 5h	$\sqrt{ }$	93	87:13	$\mathbf{93}$
$\bf 8$	QН O OMe 5i	122	66	64:36	$75\,$
$\boldsymbol{9}$	ОH O ÇI CI 5j	${\bf 16}$	$\mathbf{91}$	>99:1	$\mathbf{91}$
$10\,$	QН F O F F Ė 5k	$\mathbf{3}$	$90\,$	95:5	$\bf 84$
11	QН O ∠N 5I	$11\,$	$90\,$	74:26	85
$12\,$	ŌН O 5 _m NO ₂	$\,$ 8 $\,$	$47\,$	60:40	$96\,$
13	QН Ω i F NO ₂ ${\bf 5n}$	120	96	50:50	25
$14\,$	ŲН Ω NO ₂ 5 _o	$17\,$	86	77:23	$77\,$
$15\,$	QН $\frac{0}{\pi}$ ${\bf 5p}$ NO ₂	$\bf{24}$	79	$\overline{}$	39 ^d
$16\,$	QН O $\overline{\text{OH}}$ 5q HÒ $NO2$	120	$\pmb{0}$		

^a Isolated yields.

^b Determined by ¹H NMR.
^c Detemined by HPLC analysis.

^d The reaction was carried out with 30 equiv of acetone in brine.

The major objective of our project is to recover and reuse fluorous organocatalyst 3. After use in the aldol reactions of aldehyde 4a with cyclohexanone under the optimal conditions, fluorous organocatalyst 3 was readily recovered using fluorous silica gel based on FSPE.⁹ Fluorous β -aminosulfonamide 3 was easily recovered in high yields $(89-100%)$ from the reaction mixture by using FSPE. Moreover, recovered 3 can be reused for five cycles. In each reuse, recovered 3 retained its catalytic activity and enantioselectivity without further purification, although longer reaction times were necessary for the fourth and fifth reuse (Table 4).

Table 4

Recycling and reuse of the fluorous catalyst by FSPE

 a Isolated yield.

^b Determined by ¹H NMR.

^c Determined by HPLC analysis using Chiralcel OD-H.

The stereochemistry of all anti-aldol products obtained with fluorous organocatalyst 3 was determined by chiral-phase HPLC analysis and NMR spectroscopy. We infer that the direct aldol reactions of aldehydes with ketones using fluorous organocatalyst 3 proceed via a transition state similar to that proposed by Córdova's group,^{[3c,20](#page-6-0)} which is based on the stereochemistry of anti-aldol products 5. A plausible reaction mechanism for the aldol reaction is proposed as shown in Fig. 2. From this hypothesis, the primary amino group of 3 condenses with ketones to generate the enamine intermediates. Then, the acidic proton of sulfonamide group, which formed intramolecular coordination to nitrogen of the enamine transition state, successfully interacts with the oxygen of aldehydes to control the approach direction of aldehyde to the enamine intermediates. This ultimately affords the corresponding anti-aldol products with high stereoselectivity. We believe that the acidity of sulfonamide is enhanced by the powerful electron-withdrawing effect of the long perfluoroalkyl chains, such as $-C_4F_9$ and $-C_8F_{17}$ to strongly coordinate to aldehyde and stabilize the rigid transition states during aldol reactions.

Fig. 2. Proposed transition state model of aldol reaction.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, fluorous organocatalysts 2 and 3 can be easily prepared from L-phenylalanine, an inexpensive and commercially available amino acid. The simple β -aminosulfonamides 2 and 3, with only one chiral center, function efficiently as a catalyst in the direct aldol reaction of various aldehydes with ketones in brine to give the corresponding anti-aldol products 5 with high enantioselectivity. Fluorous organocatalysts 2 and 3 can efficiently catalyze the aldol reactions in mild reaction conditions at room temperature over shorter reaction times than the original organocatalyst $1⁸$ $1⁸$ $1⁸$ without lowering enantioselectivity. Although recycling and reuse of organocatalyst 2 was unsuccessful, organocatalyst 3 was readily recovered by simple solid-phase extraction using fluorous silica gel and was immediately reusable up to five times without purification providing the same activity and enantioselectivity. Further application of this catalyst in the synthesis of bioactive compounds is currently in progress in our laboratory.

4. Experimental section

4.1. General

 1 H NMR and 13 C NMR spectra were measured with a Bruker Ultrashield™ 400 Plus spectrometer, a JEOL AL 400 spectrometer (400 MHz for 1 H NMR and 100 MHz for 13 C NMR), or JEOL ECA-500 spectrometer (500 MHz for ¹H NMR and 125 MHz for 13 C NMR). The chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane (δ =0.00) as an internal standard. The highresolution Mass spectra (HRMS) of the compounds were recorded using a Waters LCT Premier (ESI-TOF-MS) spectrometer. For thin layer chromatographic (TLC) analyses, Merck precoated TLC plates (silica gel 60 F_{254} , Art 5715) were used. The products were isolated by flash column chromatography on silica gel (Kanto Chemical, silica gel 60 N, spherical, neutral, $40-50 \mu m$).

4.2. Preparation of organocatalyst

4.2.1. (S)-tert-Butyl 1-phenyl-3-(perfluorobutylsulfonamido) propan-2-ylcarbamate (7). To a solution of (S)-tert-butyl 1-amino-3 phenylpropan-2-ylcarbamate $(6)^{19}$ $(6)^{19}$ $(6)^{19}$ (255 mg, 1.02 mmol) in dry $CH₂Cl₂$ (5 mL) was added triethylamine (0.46 mL, 3.06 mmol) at room temperature. After stirring for 5 min, perfluorobutanesulfonyl fluoride (0.58 mL, 3.06 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C. After stirring for 3 h at 0 °C, the reaction mixture was additionally stirred for 43 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was added to water and extracted three times with AcOEt. The AcOEt layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO4, and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel with a 4:1 mixture of hexane and AcOEt to give the pure 7 (471 mg, 87%) as a colorless powder. Mp=117–119 °C; [α] $_D^{24}$ –10.4 (c 1.05, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.38 (s, 9H), 2.80 (d, J=5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.26 (m, 1H), 3.43 (d, J=12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (m, 1H), 4.75 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.19-7.32 (m, 3H), 7.40 (br s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =28.2, 38.4, 49.5, 51.8, 81.0, 107.5–119.0 (complex signals of -CF₂or eCF3), 127.2, 128.9, 129.0, 136.1, 157.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{18}H_{21}F_9N_2O_4$ SNa (M+Na)⁺: 555.0971, found: 555.0959.

4.2.2. (S)-N-(2-Amino-3-phenylpropyl)-perfluorobutanesulfon amide (2) . To a solution of 7 (453 mg, 0.851 mmol) in AcOEt (5 mL) was added 5 mL of a 4 M solution of hydrochloric acid in AcOEt at 0° C. After stirring for 3 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was evaporated. The residue was added to saturated aqueous $NAHCO₃$ and extracted three times with AcOEt. The AcOEt layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO4, and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel with a 9:1:0.08 mixture of CHCl₃, MeOH and H₂O to give the pure 2 (339 mg, 92%) as a colorless powder. Mp=172–174 °C; [α]²⁵ +10.6 (c 1.03, MeOH); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ =2.83 (dd, J=6.6, 13.9 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (dd, J=7.0, 13.9 Hz,

1H), 3.16 (dd, $J=8.3$, 14.2 Hz, 1H), 3.29 -3.35 (m, 2H, overlap with solvent peaks), 7.24-7.36 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ =38.3, 56.1, 107.5–120.7 (complex signals of -CF₂- or -CF₃), 128.2, 129.9, 130.4, 137.7; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for C₁₃H₁₄F₉N₂O₂S $(M+H)^+$:433.0627, found: 433.0667. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₃F₉N₂O₂S: C, 36.12; H, 3.03; N, 6.48. Found: C, 36.05; H, 2.99; N, 6.50.

4.2.3. (S)-tert-Butyl 1-phenyl-3-(perfluorooctylsulfonamido) propan-2-ylcarbamate (8) . To a solution of (S) -tert-butyl 1-amino-3phenylpropan-2-ylcarbamate $(6)^{19}$ $(6)^{19}$ $(6)^{19}$ (1.36 g, 5.43 mmol) in dry $CH₂Cl₂$ (25 mL) was added triethylamine (2.30 mL, 16.3 mmol) at room temperature. After stirring for 5 min, perfluorooctanesulfonyl fluoride (4.50 mL, 16.3 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture at 0 \degree C. After stirring for 3 h at 0 \degree C, the reaction mixture was additionally stirred for 48 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was added to water and extracted three times with AcOEt. The AcOEt layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO4, and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel with a 4:1 mixture of hexane and AcOEt to give the pure 8 (2.72 g, 68%) as a colorless powder. Mp 95–97 °C; [α] $_D^{23}$ –8.0 (c 2.13, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.39 (s, 9H), 2.82 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.28 (m, 1H), 4.47 (br d, $J=11.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.98 (m, 1H), 4.68 (br s, 1H), 7.09 (br s, 1H), 7.16-7.34 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =28.2, 38.4, 49.4, 51.8, 80.9, 105.0–121.5 (complex signals of $-CF_2$ – or $-CF_3$), 127.2, 128.9, 129.1, 136.3, 157.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for $C_{17}H_{14}F_{17}N_2O_2S (M+Na)^+$: 755.0843, found: 755.0837.

4.2.4. (S)-N-(2-Amino-3-phenylpropyl)-perfluorooctanesulfon amide (3). To a solution of $\frac{8}{2.69 \text{ g}}$, 3.67 mmol) in AcOEt (20 mL) was added 20 mL of a 4 M solution of hydrochloric acid in AcOEt at 0 \degree C. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was evaporated. The residue was added to saturated aqueous $NAHCO₃$ and extracted three times with AcOEt. The AcOEt layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $MgSO₄$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel with a 20:1 mixture of CHCl₃ and MeOH to give the pure **3** (1.67 g, 72%) as a colorless powder. Mp 185–186 °C; [α] $_D^{19}$ +7.8 (c 0.50, MeOH); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ =2.83 (dd, J=6.6,13.9 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (dd, J=7.0, 13.9 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (dd, J=8.3, 14.2 Hz, 1H), 3.29 -3.32 (m, 2H, overlap with solvent peaks), 7.24 -7.36 (m, 5H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ =38.3, 49.3, 56.1, 105.0–120.2 (complex signals of $-CF_2$ - or $-CF_3$), 128.3, 130.0, 130.4, 137.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd for C₁₇H₁₄F₁₇N₂O₂S (M+H)⁺:633.0499, found: 633.0531. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₃F₁₇N₂O₂S: C, 32.29; H, 2.07; N, 4.43. Found: C, 32.08; H, 2.23; N, 4.45.

4.3. Typical procedure for recycling and reusing fluorous organocatalyst 3 [\(Table 4\)](#page-4-0)

A typical procedure of the aldol condensation using 3 and 5a is as follows: to a colorless suspension of 5a (90.7 mg, 0.60 mmol) and the organocatalyst 3 (37.9 mg, 0.060 mmol) in 1.2 mL of brine were added cyclohexanone (0.62 mL, 6.00 mmol) and trifluoroacetic acid $(2.2 \mu L, 0.030 \text{ mmol})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The reaction mixture was chromatographed on fluorous silica gel with 70% methanol. Next, the fluorous silica gel was eluted with methanol, and the methanol fraction was evaporated to recover the fluorous organocatalyst 3 (37.8 mg, 100%). The 70% methanol fractions were evaporated to a one-third to original volume. The residue was extracted three times with AcOEt. The AcOEt layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel with a 2:1 mixture of hexane and AcOEt to afford the pure 6a (133 mg, 89%) as a colorless oil.

All the aldol products in the paper are known compounds that exhibited spectroscopic data identical to those reported in the literature.

4.3.1. (2S,1⁰ R)-2-[Hydroxy(4-nitrophenyl)methyl]cyclohexan-1-one $({\bf 5a})^{6d,e,21}.$ [$\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$ +11.8 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 92% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2 propanol=80:20), flow rate=0.5 mL/min; λ =254 nm; t_{major} =17.8 min, t_{minor} =22.8 min.

4.3.2. (2S,1⁰ R)-2-[(4-Trifluoromethylphenyl)hydroxymethyl] cyclohexan-1-one $(5b)^{6e}$ $(5b)^{6e}$ $(5b)^{6e}$. $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -17.3 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 90% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol=80:20), flow rate=0.5 mL/min; λ =216 nm; t_{major} =10.0 min, t_{minor} =11.2 min.

4.3.3. 4-[Hydroxy(2-oxocyclohexyl)methyl]benzonitrile $(5c)^{6b}$. $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +15.4 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 88% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol=70:30), flow rate=0.5 mL/min; λ =234 nm; t_{major} =12.9 min, t_{minor} =16.2 min.

4.3.4. (2S,1⁰ R)-2-[(4-Bromophenyl)hydroxymethyl] cyclohexan-1 one $(5d)^{6d,e}$. $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ +18.3 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 93% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AS-H column (hexane/2-propanol=90:10), flow rate=0.5 mL/min; $\lambda=217$ nm; $t_{\text{major}} = 28.0 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 29.5 \text{ min}.$

4.3.5. (2S,1⁰ R)-2-(Hydroxyphenylmethyl)cyclohexan-1-one $({\bm 5e})^{6d,e}$. [$\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{24}$ +4.8 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 30% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2 propanol=90:10), flow rate=0.5 mL/min; λ =225 nm; t_{major} =20.2 min, $t_{minor}=27.1$ min.

4.3.6. (2S,1⁰ R)-2-(1-Hydroxy-1-phenylmethyl)cyclohexan-1-one $(5f)^{6d,e,21}$. [$\alpha]_D^{25}$ +20.7 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 87% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2 propanol=95:5), flow rate=1.0 mL/min; λ =210 nm; t_{major} =11.7 min, t_{minor} =17.3 min.

4.3.7. (2S,1⁰ R)-2-[Hydroxy(2-nitrophenyl)methyl]cyclohexan-1-one $({\bf 5g})^{6b,21}$. [α] $^{22}_D$ +14.3 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 91% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2 propanol=80:20), flow rate=0.5 mL/min; λ =250 nm; t_{major} =13.4 min, $t_{minor}=15.0$ min.

4.3.8. (2S,1⁰ R)-2-[Hydroxy(3-nitrophenyl)methyl]cyclohexan-1-one $(5h)^{6b}$ $(5h)^{6b}$ $(5h)^{6b}$. [$\alpha]_D^{23}$ +30.1 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 93% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2 propanol=80:20), flow rate=0.5 mL/min; λ =254 nm; t_{major} =15.2 min, $t_{minor}=19.2$ min.

4.3.9. (2S,1'R)-2-[Hydroxy(3-methoxyphenyl)methyl] cyclohexan-1one (**5i**)^{[4a](#page-6-0)}. [α] $_{D}^{22}$ +4.4 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 75% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AS column (hexane/2 propanol=90:10), flow rate=1.0 mL/min; λ =220 nm; t_{major} =13.1 min, t_{minor} =16.7 min.

4.3.10. (2S,1'R)-2-[(2,6-Dichlorophenyl)hydroxymethyl] cyclohexan-1-one $(5j)^{21}$. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -39.1 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 91% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OJ-H column (hexane/ 2-propanol=95:5), flow rate=1.0 mL/min; λ =210 nm; t_{ma} $_{\text{jor}}=9.5$ min, $t_{\text{minor}}=11.0$ min.

4.3.11. (2S,1⁰ R)-2-[(2,3,4,5,6-Pentafluorophenyl)hydroxy methyl]cyclohexan-1-one $(5k)^{21}$. $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -16.4 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 84% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol=90:10), flow rate=0.5 mL/min; $\lambda = 210$ nm; $t_{\text{major}} = 14.8$ min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 18.8$ min.

4.3.12. (2S,1′R)-2-[Hydroxy(pyridine-4-yl)methyl] cyclohexan-1-one $(5I)^{21}$. Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol=90:10), flow rate=1.0 mL/ min; $\lambda = 254$ nm; $t_{minor} = 21.7$ min, $t_{major} = 24.2$ min.

4.3.13. (2S,1⁰ R)-2-[Hydroxy(4-nitrophenyl)methyl] cyclopentan-1 one (**5m**) 6b,21 . Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol=95:5), flow rate=1.0 mL/min; λ =265 nm; t_{major}=50.7 min, t_{minor}=48.4 min.

4.3.14. (2S,1⁰ R)-2-[Hydroxy(4-nitrophenyl)methyl] cycloheptan-1 one $(5n)^{6b}$. $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ -4.1 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 25% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol=90:10), flow rate=1.0 mL/min; λ =254 nm; t_{major} = 50.0 min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 20.7$ min.

4.3.15. (3S,1'R)-3-[(1'-Hydroxy-1'-(4''-nitrophenyl))methyl] tetrahy*dropyran-4-one* (5**o**)²¹. Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol=80:20), flow rate=1.0 mL/min; λ =254 nm; t_{minor} =20.7 min, t_{major} =23.9 min.

4.3.16. (4R)-4-Hydroxy-p-nitrophenylbutan-2-one \int (**5p**)^{6d,e,21}. $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{24}$ +24.5 (c 1.00, CHCl₃); 39% ee; enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OJ column (hexane/2-propanol=90:10), flow rate=1.0 mL/min; λ =266 nm; t_{major} =34.3 min, t_{minor} =38.8 min.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 22590007) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References and notes

- 1. For selected reviews on organocatalysts, see: (a) Dalko, P. I.; Moisan, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5138; (b) Pellisier, H. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 9267; (c) Mukherjee, S.; Yang, J. W.; Hoffmann, S.; List, B. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5471; (d) Dondoni, A.; Massi, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4638; (e) Lattanzi, A. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1452; (f) Liu, X.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. Chem. Commun. 2009, 6145; (g) Modern Aldol Reactions; Mahrwald, R., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004; Vols. 1 and 2.
- 2. List, B.; Lerner, R. A.; Barbas, C. F., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2395.
- 3. For examples of organocatalyzed aldol reactions in organic solvents using organocatalysts with primary amino group, see: (a) Córdova, A.; Zou, W.; Ibrahem, I.; Reyes, E.; Engqvist, M.; Liao, W.-W. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3586; (b) Zou, W.; Ibrahem, I.; Dziedzic, P.; Sundén, H.; Córdova, A. Chem. Commun. **2005**, 4946; (c) Dziedzic, P.; Zou, W.; Háfren, J.; Córdova, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2006**, 4, 38; (d) Córdova, A.; Zou, W.; Dziedzic, P.; Ibrahem, I.; Reyes, E.; Xu, Y. Chem. -*Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5383; (e) Ramasastry, S. S. V.; Zhang, H.; Tanaka, F.; Barbas, C.* F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 288; (f) Luo, S.; Xu, H.; Li, J.; Zhang, L.; Cheng, J.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3074; (g) Utsumi, N.; Imai, M.; Tanaka, F.; Ramasastry, S. S. V.; Barbas, C. F. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3445; (h) Xu, X.-Y.; Wang, Y.-Z.; Gong, L.-Z. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4247; (i) Luo, S.; Xu, H.; Zhang, L.; Li, J.; Cheng, J.-P. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 653; (j) Luo, S.; Li, J.; Zhang, L.; Xu, H.; Cheng, J.-P. Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 1273; (k) Luo, S.; Xu, H.; Chen, L.; Cheng, J.-P. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1775; (l) Nakayama, K.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17666; (m) Wu, X.; Ma, Z.; Ye, Z.; Qian, S.; Zhao, G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 158; (n) Li, J.; Luo, S.; Cheng, J.-P. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1747; (o) Luo, S.; Zhou, P.; Li, J.; Cheng, J.-P. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 4457.
- 4. For examples of organocatalyzed aldol reactions in water using organocatalysts with primary amino group, see: (a) Jiang, Z.; Liang, Z.; Wu, X.; Lu, Y. Chem. Commun. **2006**, 2801; (b) Wu, X.; Jiang, Z.; Shen, H.-M.; Lu, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal.
2007, 349, 812; (c) Zhu, M.-K.; Xu, X.-Y.; Gong, L.-Z. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2008**, 350, 1390; (d) Ramasastry, S. S. V.; Albertshofer, K.; Utsumi, N.; Barbas, C. F. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1621; (e) Tea, Y.-C.; Lee, P. P. Synth. Commun. 2009, 39, 3081; (f) Peng, F.-Z.; Shao, Z.-H.; Pu, X.-W.; Zhang, H.-B. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2199; (g) Ma, X.; Da, C.-S.; Yi, L.; Jia, Y.-N.; Guo, Q.-P.; Che, L.-P.; Wu, F.-C.; Wang, J.-R.; Li,
W.-P. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20,* 1419; (h) Zhou, H.; Xie, Y.; Ren, L.; Wang, K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 1284; (i) Miura, T.; Imai, K.; Ina, M.; Tada, N.; Imai, N.; Itoh, A. *Org. Lett. 2010, 12,* 1620; (j) Li, J.; Fu, N.; Li, X.; Luo, S.; Cheng
J.-P. J. *Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4501; (k) Ricci, A.; Bernardi, L.; Gioia, C.; Vierucci, S.*; Robitzer, M.; Quignard, F. Chem. Commun. 2010, 6288.
- 5. For review on stereoselective organocatalytic reaction in water, see: (a) Gruttadauria, M.; Giacalone, F.; Noto, R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 33; (b) Paradowska, J.; Stodulski, M.; Mlynarski, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4288; (c) Raj, M.; Singh, K. Chem. Commun. 2009, 6687; (d) Mase, N.; Barbas, C. F., III. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 4043.
- 6. For examples of organocatalyzed aldol reactions in water without any organic solvent using pyrrolidine derivatives, see: (a) Chimni, S. S.; Mahajan, D.; Babu, V. V. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 5617; (b) Mase, N.; Nakai, Y.; Ohara, N.; Yoda, H.; Takabe, K.; Tanaka, F.; Barbas, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 734; (c) Hayashi, Y.; Sumiya, T.; Takahashi, J.; Gotoh, H.; Urushima, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 958; (d) Hayashi, Y.; Aratake, S.; Okano, T.; Takahashi, J.; Sumiya, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5527; (e) Font, D.; Jimeno, C.; Pericas, M. A. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4653; (f) Wu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, M.; Zhao, G.; Wang, S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4417; (g) Hayashi, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 8103; (h) Huang, W.-P.; Chen, J.-R.; Li, X.-Y.; Cao, Y.-J.; Xiao, W.-J. Can. J. Chem. 2007, 85, 208; (i) Giacalone, F.; Gruttadauria, M.; Marculescu, A. M.; Noto, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 255; (j) Aratake, S.; Itoh, T.; Okano, T.; Usui, T.; Shoji, M.; Hayashi, Y. Chem. Commun. 2007, 2524; (k) Maya, V.; Raj, M.; Singh, V. K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2593; (l) Gruttadauria, M.; Giacalone, F.; Marculescu, A. M.; Meo, P. L.; Riela, S.; Noto, R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 4688; (m) Font, D.; Sayalero, S.; Bastero, A.; Jimeno, C.; Pericàs, M. A. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 337; (n) Zu, L.; Xie, H.; Li, H.; Wang, J.; Wang, W. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1211; (o) Lombardo, M.; Pasi, F.; Easwar, S.; Trombini, C. Synlett 2008, 2471; (p) Chimni, S. S.; Singh, S.; Mahajan, D. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2008, 19, 2276; (q) Chimni, S. S.; Singh, S.; Kumar, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20, 1722; (r) Zhang, S.-P.; Fu, X.-K.; Fu, S.-D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 1173; (s) Fu, S.-D.; Fu, X.-K.; Zhang, S.-P.; Zou, X.-C.; Wu, X.-J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20, 2390; (t) Nisco, M. D.; Pedatella, S.; Ullah, H.; Zaidi, J. H.; Naviglio, D.; Ozdamar, O.; Caputo, R. J. Org. *Chem. 2009, 74*,
9562; (u) Vishnumaya, M. R.; Singh, V. K. J. Org. *Chem. 2009, 74*, 4289; (v) Mase, N.; Noshiro, N.; Mokuya, A.; Takabe, K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2791; (w) Jia, Y.-N.; Wu, F.-C.; Ma, X.; Zhu, G.-J.; Da, C.-S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 3059; (x) An, Y.-J.; Zhang, Y.-X.; Wu, Y.; Liu, Z.-M.; Pi, C.; Tao, J.-C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2010, 21, 688; (y) Pedrosa, B.; Andrés, J. M.; Manzano, R.; Román, D.; Téllez, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 935.
- 7. Heine, A.; DeSantis, G.; Luz, J. G.; Mitchell, M.; Wong, C.-H.; Wilson, I. A. Science 2001, 294, 369.
- 8. Miura, T.; Yasaku, Y.; Koyata, N.; Murakami, Y.; Imai, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 2632.
- 9. Curran, D. P. In The Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry; Gladysz, J. A., Horváth, I. T. Curran, D. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004.
- 10. Horváth, I. T.; Rábai, J. Science 1994, 266, 72.
- 11. Curran, D. P. Synlett 2001, 1488.
- 12. For review on fluorous organocatalysts, see: Zhang, W.; Cai, C. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5686.
- 13. (a) Fache, F.; Piva, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 5655; (b) Chu, Q.; Zhang, W.; Curran, D. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 9287.
- 14. Fache, F.; Piva, O. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 139.
- 15. (a) Zu, L.; Wang, J.; Li, H.; Wang, W. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3077; (b) Zu, L.; Wang, J.; Li, H.; Yu, X.-H.; Wang, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 5131.
- 16. (a) Dalicsek, Z.; Pollreisz, F.; Gomory, A.; Soóa, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3243; (b) Malkov, A. V.; Figlus, M.; Stončius, S.; Kočovský, P. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1315; (c) Goushi, S.; Funabiki, K.; Ohta, M.; Hatano, K.; Matsui, M. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 4061; (d) Chu, Q.; Yu, M. S.; Curran, D. P. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 749.
- 17. Cui, H.; Li, Y.; Zheng, C.; Zhao, G.; Zhu, S. J. Fluorine Chem. 2008, 129, 45.
- 18. Wang, L.; Cai, C.; Curran, D. P.; Zhang, W. Synlett 2010, 433.
- 19. Imai, N.; Nokami, J.; Nomura, T.; Ninomiya, Y.; Shinobe, A.; Matsushiro, S. Bull. Okayama Univ. Sci. 2002, 47.
- 20. Bassan, A.; Zou, W.; Reues, E.; Himo, F.; Córdova, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7028.
- 21. Tang, H.; Carter, R. G. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4649.

